

561902-EPP-1-2015-1-SE-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP Modernising geodesy education in Western Balkan with focus on competences and learning outcomes (GEOWEB)

Quality Assurance Plan

Update: September 2017

Table of Contents

	Table	of Contents	1
1	Intro	duction	2
2	Orga	nizations and responsibilities	3
	2.1 P	Project coordinator	3
	2.2 P	roject Management Board (PMB)	3
	2.3 lı	nternational Advisory Board (IAB)	3
	2.4 N	Nanagement of partner organizations	4
	2.5 N	lational Erasmus+ Office (NEO)	4
3	Quali	ty control procedures	5
	3.1 N	Meeting, training and other events	5
	3.2 C	Curricular development	6
	3.3 E	xternal evaluation	7
4	Quali	ty control in financial management	8
	4.1 R	Reporting of costs of travel and stay	8
	4.2 R	Reporting of staff costs	9
٩nı	nex		
٩nr	nex 1.	Activity Monitoring Table	
٩nr	nex 2.	List of members of Project Management Board (PMB)	
٩nr	nex 3.	List of members of International Advisory Board (IAB)	
٩nr	nex 4.	Feedback survey questionnaire	
٩nr	nex 5.	Template for syllalus development	
٩nr	nex 6.	Unit cost of travel between GEOWEB project cities	
٩nr	nex 7.	Template of Individual Travel Report (ITR)	
٩nr	nex 8.	Template of staff convention	
٩nr	nex 9.	Template of time sheet	

1. Introduction

Quality assurancel is an important part of the GEOWEB project. This manual aims to provide guidelines and agreed procedures that ensure that all planned activities of GEOWEB project will be implemented successfully and produce the expected outcomes which are consistent with the general and specific objectives of the project.

The guiding principles of the quality control within GEOWEB project are:

- Quality control should be implemented in all project activities, for all project partners/members and during the whole project period
- Quality control should focus on the outcome of each project activity, against the project objectives described in the project plan

Quality control will be performed by internal actors of the GEOWEB consortium:

- project coordinator
- Project Management Board (PMB)
- local coordinators at partner organizations
- management officials of project partners (universities or other organizations)

Quality control can also be performed by external stakeholders:

- external experts
- members of the International Advisory Board (IAB)
- National Erasmus+ Officers
- other stakeholders

Organizations for quality control and their responsibilities as well as quality control procedures will be described in more details in this manual.

GEOWEB project involves a number of meetings, workshops and training courses. Another important activity is curricular development including development of syllabus. For all these activities, it is necessary to have well-defined procedures to ensure outcomes of high quality.

2. Organizations and Responsibilities

2.1 Project Coordinator

Project coordinator has the overall responsibility for the whole project, in particular monitoring and quality control. The project coordinator should:

- plan and coordinate project activities, and assign necessary human and financial resources for different tasks
- monitor the progress of all ongoing activities
- identify problems and difficulties and take necessary actions accordingly
- evaluate completed activities and results against project objectives and expected outcomes
- check and verify financial expenses of all project partners

To effectively monitor and evaluate project activities, the project coordinator will use an Activity Monitoring Table (Annex 1) which, for each work package, includes:

- work package title and WP leader
- responsible partners
- place of activities
- period of actions and deadlines
- objectives of the activity and expected outcomes

2.2 Project Management Board (PMB)

PMB is the highest decision-making body of GEOWEB project, consisting of local coordinators at all project partner organizations. A list of PMB members can be found in **Annex 2**. The PMB will have 3 annual meetings, plus some working meetings in connection with other project events.

At annual meetings, the PMB will:

- receive report on completed activities
- evaluate achieved results against the project's objectives
- approve the activities or
- provide instructions on improvement to achieve intended results of good quality

2.3 International Advisory Board (IAB)

IAB consists of external representatives for geodesy stakeholders in the three Balkan partner countries, including students, public agencies and private enterprises. A list of members of the IAB can be found in **Annex 3**. The IAB will have three annual meetings during the entire project period.

IAB will:

receive activity report from the GEOWEB project consortium

- evaluate project activities and achieved results
- comment on major project output such as questionnaire survey report, geodesy curricula and syllabus
- provide advices and guidances on future project activities

2.4 Management of the Partner Organization

The project coordinator and local coordinator at each partner organization will timely report project activities and project results to the management of the partner organization. Feedbacks will be communicated within the project consortium for improving project implementation.

2.5 National Erasmus+ Offices

National Erasmus Officers will make field monitoring to partner organizations. Feedbacks from the monitoring visits will be disseminated within the project consortium and necessary actions be taken accordingly.

3. Quality Control Procedures

3.1 Meeting, Training and Other Events

The GEOWEB contains a number of events, such as project working meetings, annual meetings of the Project Management Board and International Advisory Board, training courses, workshops, etc. Events will take place at different partner organizations, sometimes attended by all project partners and sometime only by a fewer partners.

Quality Control Activities

All events should follow the procedures and requirements described below. The purpose is to ensure that all events are held effectively and efficiently, and that they contribute to the achievement of the project objectives.

Quality Control Process

Effective and productive organization of an event requires:

- A **responsible person** is appointed. This person, together with the project coordinator, will take the responsibility for the whole event.
- An agenda (or programme or training plan) is distributed in advance to all participants.
- **Practical information** is provided to participants, such as information on travel, insurance, accommodation, safety, telecom and internet access, etc.
- Attendance list is signed by every participant every day.
- A **minutes**, in case of a meeting, is drafted by the responsible person and commented by all participants and distributed to all project partners.
- Assessment, in case of training, is performed <u>either</u> through written exams <u>or</u> other forms (project work, report writing, seminars, work plans, etc)
- **Feedbacks** are collected at the end of the event to identify what in the event was good and what should be improved in future events. Feedbacks can be collected from an anonymous survey using a standard questionnaire (Annex 4)

Quality Control Criteria

- Fullfilment of the objective of the event
- Compliance with project plan
- Compliance with Erasmus+ regulations and standards

Outcomes

- Agenda (programme)
- Signed attendance list
- Meeting minutes
- Feedbacks

3.2 Curricular development

Curricular development is one of the core activities of the GEOWEB project. New geodesy BSc and MSc programmes with be developed, including detailed syllabus for every course in the curricula.

Quality Control Activities

Curricular development will be based on the questionnaire survey among geodesy stakeholders and geodesy students in partner countries, considering the needs and requirement of Balkan societies and technological challenges.

The proposed curricula and syllabus should be reviewed by GEOWEB project team members, members of the Project Management Board, members of the International Advisory Board, and other stakeholders.

The new curricula should obtain approval by the university administration and accreditation by national quality control agencies.

Quality Control Process

- Working groups are formed to be responsible for curricula and syllabus
- A standard template (Annex 5) is used for developing syllabus, including detailed list of learning outcomes.
- A Competence Matrix is filled for each curriculum.
- Feedbacks on proposed curricula and syllabus are collected from Project Management Board, members of the International Advisory Board and other stakeholders
- Submission for approval by university administrations
- Submisison for accreditation by national quality control agencies

Quality Control Criteria

- Compliance with results from geodesy stakeholder survey
- Compliance with Bologna higher education system
- Compliance with national regulations in higher education
- accreditation by national quality control agencies

Outcomes

- Complete curricular documents including syllabus
- Written comments by members of the International Advisory Board
- Written approval by university administrations
- Certificate of accreditation by national quality control agencies

3.3 External evaluation

Evaluation of the GEOWEB project by international experts is an external quality assurance measure which aims to obtain independent opinions on the GEOWEB project design and implementation.

Preliminarily, the following three experts will be commissioned (to be approved by EACEA):

- Professor Bela Markus, Obuda University, Budapest, Hungary
- Prof. Željko Bačić, University of Zagreb, Croatia
- Professor Zlatko Srbinoski, Cyril and d Methodius University, Skopje, Macedonia

Quality Control Activities

The three experts will visit partner universities during one week period in December 2017. At the end of the visit, they will evaluate the project design and implementation and deliver a written evaluation report.

Quality Control Process

- Experts receive progress reports from the Project Coordinator as well as representatives from the Balkan partner universities.
- Experts meet university managements, geodesy staff and students
- Experts inspect new geodesy laboratories established by the GEOWEB project
- Experts inspect student field exercises
- Experts evaluate project design and implementation results

Quality Control Criteria

- Curricula are in compliance with Bologna system and national regulations
- Curricula consistent with the needs and requirements of Balkan societies
- Curricula focusing on competences and learning outcomes
- Effective and efficient project implementations

Outcomes

- Progress reports by GEOWEB team members
- Visiting agenda for external experts
- Written evaluation report by external experts

4. Quality Control in financial management

4.1 Reporting of costs of travel and stay

All reporting of costs of travel and stay will follow strictly the unit cost principle of the Erasmus+ Programme. This applies to both reporting from each partner organization to the project coordinator, and from project coordinator to EACEA.

For the GEOWEB project, the unit cost of travel is listed in Annex 6. Cost of stay is calculated as 120 EURO per day, including travel days and working days. Reporting of costs of travel and stay should include the signed Individual Travel Report (ITR) (Annex 7) together with supporting documents.

List of supporting documents can include:

- Boarding passes
- Electronic tickets, receipts, invoices
- Bus/train tickets
- Petrol receipts/invoices, photos from travel by cars
- Hotel bills
- Agenda or programme
- Attendance list with signature
- Meeting minutes
- Other documents or results produced from/during the mobility

Quality Control Process

- Staff members submit signed Individual Travel Report (ITR) together with supporting documents
- Local coordinator at partner organization checks and verifies the ITR
- Management of the partner organization approves the ITR
- Project coordinator checks and approve the ITR

Quality Control Criteria

- Compliance with Guidelines on the use of the grant
- Unit-cost principle is strictly followed
- ITR is signed
- Sufficient supporting documents

Outcomes

Original hard copy is submitted to the project coordinator

4.2 Reporting of staff costs

Reporting of staff costs requires signed staff convention (Annex 8) and time sheet (Annex 9). Daily salary rates should not exceed the rates set by the Erasmus+ Programme (see Table 1 below).

Table 1. Daily salary rates in EUR for GEOWEB partners

Country	Teachers/researchers	Administrative staff
Austria	241	157
Spain	137	78
Sweden	241	157
Albania	80	45
Bosnia and Herzegovia	80	45
Serbia	80	45

Quality Control Process

- Staff members submit signed staff convention together with time sheet
- Local coordinator at partner organization checks and verifies the ITR
- Management of the partner organization approves and signs on the convention and the time sheet
- Project coordinator checks and approves the staff convention

Quality Control Criteria

- Compliance with Guidelines on the use of the grant
- Strictly follow the daily salary rates set by Erasmus+ Programme
- Staff conventions and time sheets are signed by the staff member **and** the management of the partner organization
- Sufficient description of tasks performed in the time sheets

Outcomes

Original hard copy is submitted to the project coordinator

Annex 1

GEOW	EB Activity Monitoring Table	WP Leader	Responsible partners	Place	Date/deadlines	Objectives of activities. Expected outcomes/outputs.
1	Establish project organizations . review project pla	UNSA				
1.1	Establish project team		all		2015.11	Project organizations are formed with list of PMB, LPT, IAB
1.2	Review project plan		all		2015.12	Changes to original plan
1.3	Kick-off meeting in Sarajevo		UNSA	Sarajevo	2016.03	Undersanding project & reporting rules, plan for 1st year
2	Strengthen regional cooperation and integration	UB				
2.1	Create WB geodesy education databases		UB	WB	2016.08	a database with Balkan geodesy HEI and programs
2.2	Sign cooperation agreement		UB	Belgrade	2016.10	signed agreement
2.3	Start Western Balkan Geodesy Forum (WBGF)		UB	Belgrade	2016.10	WBGS (?) is started with annual conferences
3	Establish new Geodesy Laboratories	KTH				
3.1	Analyse equipment needs		WB univ, KTH	WB univ	2016.05	List of needed equipment with technical specifications
3.2	Purchase and install new equipment		WB univ, KTH	WB univ	2016.09	IIT, evaluate tenders, choose winners, install equipment
3.3	Training on new equipment		WB univ, KTH	WB univ	2016.09	Training by providers
4	Re-train geodesy staff	UPT				
4.1	Digital mapping & advanced image processing		ULE	Ponferrada	2016.04	2 trained teachers per WB university on the subject
4.2	GNSS		TUW	Vienna	2016.06	2 trained teachers per WB university on the subject
4.3	GIS and applications		ULE	Tirana	2017.05	2 trained teachers per WB university on the subject
4.4	Gravity, geoid and reference systems		KTH	Mostar	2017.10	2 trained teachers per WB university on the subject
5	Develop a MSc geodesy core curriculum	TUW				
5.1	Survey among geodesy employers/stakeholders		WB univ, TUW	WB	2016.06	Report identifying competenecs/specialists needed by market
5.2	Workshop on curricular development		UB, TUW	Belgarde	2016.10	List of courses in a core curriculum. Plan for remaining work
5.3	Define learning outcomes at lesson level		WB univ	WB univ	2016.10-2017.03	Syllabus for each course (new/revised)
5.4	Accredit the core curriculum/MSc program		WB univ	WB univ	2017.03-2017.06	Get accredited so that MSc programs can start in autumn 2017
5.5	Develop 14 new teaching materials		WB univ + EU	WB univ	2017.09-2018.06	new teaching materials published, focus: new tech/subjects
6	Introduce e-learning and PBL	ULE				
6.1	Workshop on e-learning and PBL		ULE	Ponferrada	2017.09	be able to set up e-learning and run a course using PBL
6.2	Establish e-learning web servers		WB univ	WB univ	2017.12	e-learning web server set up at each WB university
6.3	Pilot course using PBL		WB univ	WB univ	2018.01-2018.06	run a course using PBL in spring 2018
7	Implement quality assurance	ULE				
7.1	Workshop on quality assurance mechanisms		ULE	Novi Sad	2018.03	understand principe/procesudres of QA. Survey questionnaire.
7.2	Implement standardized course evaluation		WB univ	WB univ	2018.06	implement course evaluation by students for every course
8	Quality plan for the project	KTH				
8.1	Develop Activity Monitoring Manual		ктн	Stockholm	2015.12	be clear with activity objectives and expected outcomes
8.2	Monitoring by project coordinator		KTH	all partner cities		progress is monitored and actions taken when needed
8.3	Quality control by IAB		IAB	WB cities		3 meetings with written comments/advices
8.4	External evaluation by independent experts		ктн	UPT/UT,UNMO,UNSA	2017.12	a written evaluation report with comments/advices
9	Dissemination and exploitation	UB				
9.1	Create a project web site		ктн	Stockholm	2015.12	web site is created and updated continuously
9.2	Publish project leaflets and newsletters		KTH	Stockholm	2016.03-2018.06	project leaflets & newsletters are published and distributed
9.3	Annual WBGF conference		UB	UB, UNSA, UPT	16.10,17.10,18.09	3 annual WBGS events are organized
9.4	Final dissemination conference		UPT	Tirana	2018.09	final conference to showcase the project results
10	Project management	KTH				
10.1	Establish Project Management Board (PMB)		all	all	2015.12	PMB is formed and operational
S	Annual PMB meeting		ктн	UB, KTH, UNS	16.10,17.06,18.03	3 meetings to review progress and plan activities
10.3	Day-to-day management		all	all		Project is progressing as planned & achieve expected outcomes



561902-EPP-1-2015-1-SE-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP

Modernising geodesy education in Western Balkan with focus on competences and learning outcomes (GEOWEB)

2016-03-21

Project Management Board (PMB)

Huaan Fan

Royal Institute of Technology (KTH) Division of Geodesy and Satellite Positioning Drottning Kristinas väg 30 SE-100 44 Stockholm

Sweden

Phone: +46 8 7907340 Fax: +46 8 7908300 Email: hfan@kth.se

Flor Álvarez Taboada

University of Leon (ULE)
Department of Land Surveying and Mining Technology
Av. Astorga
24401 Ponferrada
SPAIN

Phone: +34 987442042

Email: flor.alvarez@unileon.es

Robert Weber

Vienna University of Technology (TUW) Department of Geodesy and Geoinformation Gusshausstrasse 27-29 A-1040 Vienna

Austria

Phone: +43 1 58801 12865 Email: Robert.weber@tuwien.ac.at

Medzida Mulic

University of Sarajevo (UNSA) Department of Geodesy Patriotske lige 30 71000 Sarajevo Bosnia and Herzegovina Phone: +387 33 278444, +387 61 201151 (cell)

Email: medzida mulic@gf.unsa.ba

Đani Rahimić

University of Mostar (UNMO)

Faculty of civil Engineering

Sjeverni logor bb, University campus

88104 Mostar

Bosnia and Herzegovina Phone: +387 36 514868

Email: Djani.Rahimic@unmo.ba

Edin Hadžimustafić

University of Tuzla (UNTZ)

Department of Geography

Univerzitetska 4

75000 Tuzla

Bosnia and Herzegovina

Phone: +387 35 320869, +387 61 235643 (cell)

Email: edin.hadzimustafic@untz.ba

Jasmin Taletovic

Institute for Development Planning of Sarajevo Canton

Department of GIS

Branilaca Sarajeva 26

71000 Sarajevo

Bosnia and Herzegovina Phone: +387 35 209542

Email: Jasmin.Taletovic@zpr.ks.gov.ba

Alma Tabakovic

BNPro d.o.o

Buka br 6

71000 Sarajevo

Bosnia and Herzegovina

Phone: +387 33 261555 Email: alma@bnpro.ba

Bilbil Nurce

Polytechnic University of Tirana

Department of Geodesy

Str."Muhamet Gjollesha", Nr.54

1001 Tirana

Albania

Phone: +355 4 2244100 Fax: +355 4 2244101 Mobile: +355 66 4069259 Email: billnurce@gmail.com

Sabri Laçi

University of Tirana
Department of Geography

Rr. e Elbasanit 1001 Tirana

Albania

Phone: +355 69 2070049 Email: lacisabri@hotmail.com

Branko Božić

University of Belgrade Department of Geodesy and Geoinformatics Studentski trg 1 11000 Belgrade Serbia

Phone: +381 11 3218540, +381 65 2970328

Email: bozic@grf.bg.ac.rs

Miro Govedarica

University of Novi Sad Department of Computing and Control Engineering Trg Dositeja Obradovića 6 21000 Novi Sad Serbia

Phone: +355 21 4852440 Email: miro@uns.ac.rs



561902-EPP-1-2015-1-SE-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP Modernising geodesy education in Western Balkan with focus on competences and learning outcomes (GEOWEB)

List of members of the International Advisory Board (IAB)

Albania

Mr Dritan Priftit

Head of Remote Sensing Section Albanian State Authority of Geospatial Information (ASIG) Rruga:Papa Gjon Pali II , Nr.3 , Kati III Tirana, Albania

E-mail: dritan.prifti@asig.gov.al

Mr Lorenc Zanaj

Lorenco & Co SRL

Rr. Dritan Hoxha, P. 117, K. 3

Tirana, Albania

Email: lorenc_68@yahoo.com Phone: +355 69 2095123

Bosnia and Herzegovina

Mr Eldin Đonlagić

Head of Section for Surveying, Cartography and Land Consolidation Federal Administration for Geodetic and Properties Affairs (FGU) Marka Marulica 2, 71000 Sarajevo Bosnia and Herzegovina

Offcie Phone: +387 33 586-059 Email: eldin.donlagic@fgu.com.ba

Enes Karadza

Master student University of Sarajevo Faculty of Civil Engineering Bosnia and Herzegovina Email: eno.kk111@gmail.com

Serbia

Dario Krstic

Director, DataContext, D.O.O. Valjevo, Republic of Serbia

Phone: 381 65 2909519, +381 14 500166

Email: dkrstic@dmkintl.com

Jovana Radovic

University of Novi Sad Dr Sime Milosevica 8 21000 Novi Sad

Email: jradovic92@gmail.com Phone: +381642683169



561902-EPP-1-2015-1-SE-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP

Modernising geodesy education in Western Balkan with focus on competences and learning outcomes (GEOWEB)

Feedbacks on GEOWEB project event

Thank you for your valuable feedbacks!

Event:					
Place:					
Period:					
1 = bad, $2 = insufficient$, $3 = acceptable$, $4 = good$, $5 = excellent$	1	2	3	4	5
1. Are the objectives and tasks of the event clearly defined ?					
2. Have you received sufficient background documents before the event ?					
3. Is the time allocated for the event sufficient and appropriate ?					
4. Is the technical equipment incl. internet functioning satisfactorily ?					
5. Has the event achieved the stated objectives ?					
6. Have you got sufficient information and support on travel & accommodation?					
7. Are you satisfied with social activities during the event?					
8. What in this event is not good and needs improvement?					
9. Your specific suggestion for changes and improvement in future event:					

Annex 5

Course name	
ECTS credits	Lectures: Practice/exercises: Project: Total:
Lecturer	
Study hours	Lectures: Practice/exercises: Project: Total:
Learning outcomes	After completing this course, students should be able to: 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
Syllabus (List of lessons)	
Prerequisite	
Course literature	
Assessment	
Grading	

Annex 6

Erasmus+

Unit costs for travels, based on travel distances

		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9			
		Stockholm	Vienna	Ponferrada	Sarajevo	Mostar	Tuzla	Tirana	Belgrade	Novi sad	Brussels	km	EUR
					Unit	t costs in EU	<i>IR</i>						
1	Stockholm		275	360	275	275	275	360	275	275	275	100 - 499	180
2	Vienna			275	275	275	180	275	180	180	275	500 - 1999	275
3	Ponferrada				360	275	360	360	360	360	275	2000 - 2999	360
4	Sarajevo					0	0	180	180	180	275	3000 - 3999	530
5	Mostar						180	180	180	180	275	4000 - 7999	820
6	Tuzla							180	180	180	275	8000 -	1100
7	Tirana								180	180	275		
8	Belgrade									0	275		
9	Novi sad										275		
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9			
		Stockholm	Vienna	Ponferrada	Sarajevo	Mostar	Tuzla	Tirana	Belgrade	Novi sad	Brussels	km	EUR
					dis	tances in kn	n						
1	Stockholm		1242	2514	1722	1778	1645	2007	1623	1570	1280	100 - 499	180
2	Vienna			1893	508	552	444	812	491	422	914	500 - 1999	275
3	Ponferrada				2020	1981	2040	2180	2180	2129	1242	2000 - 2999	360
4	Sarajevo					71	81	305	198	195	1307	3000 - 3999	530
5	Mostar						150	278	267	267	1313	4000 - 7999	820
6	Tuzla							369	145	122	1277	8000 -	1100
7	Tirana								390	436	1589		
8	Belgrade									70	1374		
9	Novi sad										1305		

ANNEX III - INDIVIDUAL TRAVEL REPORT for travel costs and costs of stay

To be filled in by <u>each</u> participant In case of circular/multiple travels, please fill in separate Individual Travel Reports.

	Project No. correspond to the progressive numbering i		PP-1-2015-1-SE-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP ne financial statements in the final report				
Nationality: Home institution:			x				
(2) TYPE OF A	CTIVITY (Tick as appropriate)						
STAFF	STUDENTS						
Practical placeme and institutions Project manageme	aining purposes mmes and courses ents in companies, industries ent related meetings		Study period Participation in intensive courses Practical placements, internships in companies, industries or institutions Participation in short term activities linked to the management of the project				
	sits for result dissemination purposes						
(3) DETAILS O	OF THE TRAVEL						
PERIOD*	From (Depart date) (dd/mm/yy)		To (Return date) (dd/mm/yy)				
PLACE OF DEPARTURE**			TY				
PLACE OF DESTINATION/ LOCATION OF ACTIVITY		INSTITUTION					
TRAVEL DISTANCE	CE*** Km						
*Please indicate period of travel from departure to return to place of origin ** If different from Home institution please enclose authorisation from the Agency ***Travel distance in Km (One-way travel using distance calculator: http://ec.europa.eu/programmes/erasmus-plus/tools/distance_en.htm) from place of departure to location of activities							
(4) DETAILS O	OF THE ACTIVITY						
DATES (excluding tra	wel) From (date):		To (date):				
DESCRIPTION OF ACTIVITY(IES) PERFORMED (brief description of the activities performed)							
SIGNATUDE OF THE DADTICIDANT							
SIGNATURE OF THE PARTICIPANT I hereby declare that I have been carrying out the above-mentioned activities.							
Date:		Sigr	nature:				

CTAPE CONT	TENTELON				ANNEATI				
STAFF CONV	ENTION								
Ref. No	•••••	•••••	Project 1	No. 561902-EPP-1-2015-1-SE-EPPK	A2-CBHE-JP				
The reference number	ber must corres	pond to the progressive numbering	indicated in	n the financial statements of the final report					
BETWEEN		er "the Institution"*							
AND									
	Hereinaft	er "the Staff member"*	•••••						
THE FOLLOWIN	NG HAS BEEN	N AGREED:							
The StaffThe Instit	member is e ution and S	mber of the partnership for t mployed by the Institution a taff member agree that the uring the project's eligibility	nd is part Staff me		nd performed				
	į	dd/mm/yy	_	dd/mm/yy					
	FROM		то						
4. Please cor	mplete the fo	llowing information.							
		nger / Researcher, Teacher, Teather, Teather, Teacher, Te	Trainer /						
		ution in which the Staff men	nber is en	mployed					
	of days wor	ked and charged to the grant							
and the Staff	member and		ly for th	conditions already existing between the purpose of justifying the Staff of gher Education grant.					
Done in			D	Oate					
Name									
Function									
Institution			St	taff member name					
Signature and S	Stamp of the	Institution	Si	Signature of the Staff member					

^{*}The convention must be signed by the person concerned, then signed and stamped by the person responsible in the institution where this person is normally employed. The Institution must be a member of the partnership.

				PROJECT TIMESHEET		
Project numb	Project number: 561902-EPP-1-2015-1-SE-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP Modernising geodesy education in Western Balkan with focus on competences and learning outcomes (GEOWEB)					
Surname :						
First Name :						
Institution :						
Country :						
Position :						
Staff Categor	y ¹ :					
Year	Month	Number of Days	Work Package	Description of tasks performed and outputs produced		
Total	days:	0				
¹ Please refer to	Section 3.3.1.1	L (Staff costs) of	I the Guidelines for the Use of the	e Grant. Time-sheets have to be attached to each Staff convention.		
Signature of the staff member: Signature of the person responsible in the institution (where the staff member is employed):						

Signature of the staff member :	Signature of the person responsible in the institution (where the staff member is employed) :